

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,264	04/24/2000	Glen Kazumi Okita	02365.P0015 8596	
7	7590 08/27/2003			
Andre L Marais Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor			EXAMINER	
			CUNNINGHAM, GREGORY F	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2676	79
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	. ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Market and the second s		}
	Application No.	[*] Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/557,264	OKITA ET AL.
y .	Examiner	Art Unit
	Greg Cunningham	2676
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2.57).	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-10,13,14,16,19-22 and 24-26</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}$ is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.
10. Other:		
	Mau	to C. Bella
J.F. Cunninghem	` 	MATTHEW C. BELLA

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER (2600aper No. 14

-- Continuation --

3

Ref_2-A, Note: Applicant's amendment to claim language of at least independent claims is sufficient to require additional search of amended claim language for prior art.